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Chapter 16
ACTION PLANNING FOSTERS ADOPTION OF REGULAR PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BEHAVIOR AMONG LOW-CONTROL INDIVIDUALS WITH HIGH INTENTION

Pier-Eric Chamberland
Paule Miquelon
University of Quebec, Canada
pier.e.chamberland@gmail.com

Abstract: This study aims to document how action planning (AcP) and coping planning (CP) (Gollwitzer, 1999) combines with the intention and perceived behavioral control (PBC) variables (Ajzen, 1991) to predict physical activity (PA) behavior. It was hypothesized that: 1) intention and the use of planning would each have a main effect on PA behavior, 2) AcP, with or without CP, would be useful to individuals with low PBC if their intention was high. In a quasi-experimental prospective design, 122 individuals were asked to engage regularly in PA for the 5 following weeks with the help of AcP alone, AcP and CP, or no planning at all. TPB variables and past month PA behavior were measured at T1 and frequency of PA was measured at T2. Results of an ANCOVA 2 (low vs high intention) X 3 (no planning, AcP, AcP + CP) X 3 (low, average or high PBC), which controlled for the influence of past behavior, revealed a main effect of intention and a significant interaction between intention, planning and PBC. Simple effects analysis demonstrated that AcP alone improved PA frequency among low PBC individuals with high intention. Limits of the design as well as conceptual implications are discussed.
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Background

Optimal functioning and self-regulation of goals, including health goals like regular physical activity (PA), are ideally attained through future-oriented and eudaemonic mindsets (Miquelon & Vallerand, 2008; Oettingen, 2000; Zimbardo & Boyd, 1999). Goal setting and planning (or implementation intentions, IIs, Gollwitzer, 1999) are integral parts of these processes (Gollwitzer & Oettingen, 2011) and planning can even compensate for the goal striving deficits associated with a limited time perspective (Gellert et al., 2012). Concurrently, research by Bayer, Gollwitzer & Achtzigen (2010) suggested that IIs also contribute to acquire a concrete and present-centered mindset called hypo-egoic self-regulation, in which conscious thoughts conflicting with execution of action (i.e. self-defeating emotions or temptations) are silenced, thus fostering flow experience and reducing self-regulatory expenditure (Leary & Guadagno, 2011). Effective goal intentions and planning go hand in hand (Mele, 2009), as intention alone appears to be insufficient to foster behavior change (intention-behavior gap, Sheeran, 2002). Indeed, IIs were shown to be significant intention-PA mediators, induced IIs having small to medium effect sizes.
Purpose and hypotheses

To our knowledge, the benefits of AcP combined with CP have never been compared to those obtained with AcP alone. Also, few studies focused on differential effects of AcP and CP on different profiles of exercisers. For example, since perceived behavioral control (PBC) has its own main effect on PA behavior (Ajzen, 1991), some people have the intention to exercise regularly but lack the confidence in succeeding to do so over a long period of time. Concurrently, past experience facilitates enactment of behavior (Ajzen, 2002) and is likely to impact positively on PBC. For these reasons, we aimed to investigate the possibly increased benefits of combining AcP and CP, and the potential of AcP, with or without CP, to help people with limited PA experience increase their practice of PA. In brief, we expected past behavior, intention and planning to have a main effect on PA behavior, as well as a three-way interaction between PBC, intention and planning. We also hypothesized that AcP, with or without CP, would be useful to individuals with low PBC if their intention was high.

Method

In order to verify these hypotheses, we used a prospective quasi-experimental design with IIs being experimentally manipulated. Two waves of data were collected from a sample of 140 French-Canadian adults (109 women & 31 men, mean age: 31.5 years, S.E. = 1.0 years) recruited through newspaper ads and on-the-spot solicitation at the Center for sports and PA of University of Québec at Trois-Rivières. Participants were required to present no pre-existing condition that might hinder the practice of PA and not already be regular exercisers (practicing PA less than 3 times a week). They were also invited to engage in moderate PA for at least 30 minutes, three days per week, over the following five weeks. The survey protocol consisted of two web-based questionnaires (pre and post-test), filled in an interval of 4 to 5 weeks.

The first questionnaire (T1) contained scales measuring past and current moderate PA frequency (adapted from Godin & Shephard, 1997), intention and PBC toward PA (3 and 6 items, Boudreau & Godin, 2009). At the end of this questionnaire, each participant was randomly assigned to one of the following conditions: a) a control group with no induced planning, b) a group forming AcP only and c) a group forming both AcP and CP. Adopting Sniehotta and colleagues (2005)’s procedure, participants forming AcP were instructed to write down where, when and how they intended to practice PA over the following five weeks, while those forming both AcP and CP were also asked to list what obstacles were most likely to hinder their PA goals over that time and what means they chose to overcome.
them efficiently. Participants in the control group were not asked any questions about planning. The second questionnaire (T2) measured the weekly frequency of PA over the last five weeks on a 7-point Likert Scale (adapted from Godin & Shephard, 1997). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) 2 (low vs. high intention) X 2 (low vs. high PBC) X 3 (conditions a, b, and c), controlling for the effect of past PA frequency, was used to analyze the data, as well as planned contrasts and pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction to identify significant differences. The median was used as a cut-off point to create upper and lower intention and PBC categories.

Results

Table 1 – Effects of past PA, intention, PBC and planning on PA frequency at T2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Type 1 SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>η²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past PA frequency</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8,307</td>
<td>8,307</td>
<td>6.154</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,562</td>
<td>9,562</td>
<td>7.084</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,745</td>
<td>3,745</td>
<td>2.774</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,606</td>
<td>2,803</td>
<td>2.077</td>
<td>.130</td>
<td>.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition*intention</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.784</td>
<td>.392</td>
<td>.291</td>
<td>.748</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition*PBC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.163</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.060</td>
<td>.942</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cond.<em>intention</em>PBC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13,867</td>
<td>4,622</td>
<td>3.424</td>
<td>.019</td>
<td>.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>171,429</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>823,739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>213,463</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Planned contrasts revealed a significant difference between control and experimental groups, F(1, 127) = 8.72, p = .004, but not between experimental groups (p = .31). Results of the ANCOVA are presented in Table 1. Past PA frequency and intention had significant effects on PA frequency at T2 (p = .014 and p = .009). Although no effects were found for PBC and the experimental conditions (p > .05), an interaction between intention, PBC and the experimental conditions was found (p = .019). Pairwise comparisons indicated that the significant contrast was between AcP and the control group for participants included in the high intention and low PBC categories. More precisely, AcP users showed higher PA frequency than the control group (p = .021). The difference between the group using both AcP and CP and the control group was not significant. Also, among low-PBC AcP users, participants in the high intention category showed higher PA frequency than participants in the low intention category (p = .022). Interestingly, participants with low intention and high PCB using AcP showed higher PA frequency than those who did not, but that difference was only marginally significant (p = .056).
Discussion and conclusion

Our first hypothesis was partially supported, since only past frequency of PA and intention had main effects on PA frequency at T2. No main effects were found for PBC and the experimental conditions but the two variables interacted with intention altogether. The experimental conditions’ effect size suggests that increasing sample size would help reach significance in future research. By contrast, our second hypothesis was supported: AcP combined with high intention improved PA frequency in individuals with low PBC. Small sample size might be responsible for the lack of significant differences between the group using AcP only and the group using AcP combined with CP. Nevertheless, the group using both AcP and CP had the highest increase in PA frequency between T1 and T2 in the sample, although it was not significant compared to other groups. A complementary effect of CP might have emerged over a longer time period, once the behavior was installed through AcP.

Overall, these findings are consistent with the intention-behavior mediation found by Conner et al. (2010), which revealed that planning is more efficient when intentions to exercise are strong. They also contrast with findings of Luszczynska and Haynes (2009), which reported that self-efficacy must be sufficiently high for the plans to work. Self-efficacy and PBC being conceptually distinct, this could raise the question of whether planning boosted self-efficacy in people with low PBC. The marginally significant difference between AcP users and control group for low-intention-high PBC profiles suggests that the effect of plans may not be limited to the intentional stage (Carraro & Gaudreau, 2012). Further research is necessary to document the effect of provided planning in pre-intentional stages.

In sum, AcP seems to have a beneficial effect on PA behavior for individuals who have low confidence in their ability, but this is conditional to intention: volition is still necessary. Results suggest that first-timers can concentrate on getting started with AcP before considering CP, which should be more useful in maintenance (Scholz et al., 2008). In addition to the formulation stage-appropriate specific plans, they also highlight the importance of sustaining volition over time, hence self-regulatory effort, as proposed by Hall, Fong & Cheng (2011), and Hagger et al. (2010).
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